

Philip Rosenbach, Esq. (PR-7672)  
BERMAN ROSENBAACH, P.C.  
10 Madison Avenue, P.O. Box 1916  
Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1916  
(973) 206-8200  
[pr@brglaw.com](mailto:pr@brglaw.com)  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Automotive Technologies International, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGIES	:	CASE NO.: 2:11-cv-02490(SRC)(MAS)
INTERNATIONAL, INC.	:	Hon. Stanley R. Chesler
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
-vs.-	:	<b>NOTICE OF MOTION TO AMEND</b>
	:	<b>COMPLAINT</b>
	:	
ONSTAR GLOBAL SERVICES	:	
CORPORATION,	:	Return Date: August 15, 2011
	:	
Defendants.	:	

---

TO: Michael E. Patunas  
Mayra V. Tarantino  
Lite Depalma Greenberg, LLC  
Two Gateway Center, 12th Floor  
Newark, New Jersey 07101

Mark M. Supko  
Jeffrey D. Ahdoot  
Crowell & Moring LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

Please take notice that on June 15th, 2010, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, the undersigned attorneys for Plaintiff will move before the Honorable Stanley R. Chesler, U.S.D.J., at the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, Martin Luther King, Jr. Federal Building, Room 5054, 50 Walnut Street, Newark, New Jersey for an order to allow plaintiff to amend its complaint to substitute OnStar, LLC for Onstar Global Services Corporation as the defendant in this matter.

Using the best information at the time of filing, plaintiff believed OnStar Global Services to be the proper defendant. Since the date of filing, it has come to plaintiff's attention that OnStar, LLC is the proper defendant to whom the complaint should be addressed. The plaintiff therefore requests to amend the brief to include OnStar, LLC as a defendant party pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.Pro. 15(a)(2), because twenty-one days have passed since the date of service for the first defendant.

In support of this application, plaintiff relies on a Certification of Counsel submitted herewith. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1(d)(4), no brief has been submitted in support of this motion. Plaintiff believes a brief is not necessary for this application because this application has been brought at the effective inception of this case, this application has been filed only because the plaintiff falls outside the 21-day time period set forth in Fed.R.Civ.Pro.15(a)(1)(B) by four days, and Fed.R.Civ.Pro.

15(a)(2) states that “[t]he court should freely give leave when justice so requires” with regard to requests to amend pleadings.

Please take further notice that a proposed form of Order is also submitted herewith.

BERMAN ROSENBACH, P.C.  
10 Madison Avenue, P.O. Box 1916  
Morristown, New Jersey 07962-1916  
(973) 206-8200  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Automotive Technology International, Inc.

By: /s/ Philip Rosenbach  
Philip Rosenbach  
pr@brglaw.com

July 15, 2011

Michael J. Mehrman, Esq.  
MERHMAN LAW OFFICE, P.C.  
P.O. Box 420797  
Atlanta, Georgia 30342  
404 497 7400 (phone)  
404 420 2435 (facsimile)  
mike@merhmanlaw.com